

Submitted on Thursday, November 15, 2018 - 10:15

DETAILS

Company:
First name: Gary
Last name: Miles
Address: [REDACTED]
Suburb: [REDACTED]
State: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Sector: Other Caravan Park

NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARING

1 . Would a strategic approach to clearing, through a strategic purpose permit, benefit you?

Response: no
Comments:

2. Is the 'purpose component' reasonable to apply considering the added complexity of assessing this type of clearing permit?

Response: no
Comments:

3. Is the proposed fee structure fair and does it adequately reflect differences in the financial capacity of clearing permit applicants?

Response: no
Comments:

4. What is the likely impact on your business or industry of the proposed clearing fee structure?

Comments:

This does not affect us

5. Additional comments regarding Native Vegetation Clearing not addressed in the questions above.

Comments:

WATER

6. Do you consider it reasonable for taxpayers to pay 100 per cent of the cost of assessing water licence and permit applications and if so, why?

Response: no

Comments:

7. If water licence and permit assessment fees were introduced, what do you consider to be an appropriate fee for a water licence or permit application?

Comments:

Nil

8. Would you consider a risk-based model for determining water licence and permit application fees to be appropriate? If not, what basis could the department use to structure fees?

Response: no

Comments:

9. What would be the likely impact on your business or industry if water licence and permit fees were introduced?

Comments:

Added pressure to the business in the form of costs we would be unable to pass on.

10. If water licence and permit assessment fees were introduced, how could the collection of fees be timed to better support your business or industry? For example, would you benefit from paying fees up front, at the end of a licence assessment or annualised over the term of the licence?

Response: annual

Comments

11 . Additional comments regarding Water licences and permits not addressed in the questions above.

Comments:

In this economic climate of running a small business employing local people it would cause us to consider job losses, we use bore water that is of a very poor quality, we have no scheme water. We pay huge rates, we pay for all infrastructure, maintenance and running of the bore which the government gets the taxes for, how much more does the McGowan Government want to take off of us ? Why is the government targeting small business which employs people. Why does the State Government not want to introduce this onto the bores in the metro area? To maintain votes? And why have we not been notified of this trying to be put through the back door and yet all the people you are targeting are tax payers and trying to keep their business afloat. Perhaps the Government should try running small business with their own private money.