

Submitted on Thursday, November 15, 2018 - 09:42

-----  
DETAILS  
-----

Company: [REDACTED]  
First name: Yvonne  
Last name: Marsden  
Address: [REDACTED]  
Suburb: [REDACTED]  
State: [REDACTED]  
Postcode: [REDACTED]  
Phone: [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]  
Sector: Community

-----  
NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARING  
-----

\*1 . Would a strategic approach to clearing, through a strategic purpose permit, benefit you?\*

Response: no  
Comments:

---  
\*2. Is the 'purpose component' reasonable to apply considering the added complexity of assessing this type of clearing permit?\*

Response: no  
Comments:

\*3. Is the proposed fee structure fair and does it adequately reflect differences in the financial capacity of clearing permit applicants?\*

Response: no  
Comments:

\*4. What is the likely impact on your business or industry of the proposed clearing fee structure?\*

Comments:

All small food producers would go out of business. They maintain their soils & manage adjoining land in close proximity with removal of weeds etc this is an impost to them \*5. Additional comments regarding Native Vegetation Clearing not addressed in the questions above.\*

Comments:

The removal of isolated vegetation in paddocks is a concern to ecology system functions & should be addressed.

-----  
WATER

-----

\*6. Do you consider it reasonable for taxpayers to pay 100 per cent of the cost of assessing water licence and permit applications and if so, why?\*

Response: no

Comments:

They have installed & maintain water bores, so fit they are expected to pay for a licence then they should be reimbursed. They also have meters & report to DWER on levels etc this is an impost cost to them so they would need to be reimbursed for this also.

\*7. If water licence and permit assessment fees were introduced, what do you consider to be an appropriate fee for a water licence or permit application?\*

Comments:

\$500 as urban dwellings are charged service fee for delivery of their water whether they use it or not.

then they are charged for water used & they are not food producers.

\*8. Would you consider a risk-based model for determining water licence and permit application fees to be appropriate? If not, what basis could the department use to structure fees?\*

Response: no

Comments:

\*9. What would be the likely impact on your business or industry if water licence and permit fees were introduced?\*

Comments:

There would not be any local produced food & this would impact on our community employment as well as their health as if imported foods are the only source this would have dramatic results. Importation of food has limited if any regulation of how its grown or managed.

\*10. If water licence and permit assessment fees were introduced, how could the collection of fees be timed to better support your business or industry? For example, would you benefit from paying fees up front, at the end of a licence assessment or annualised over the term of the licence?\*

Response: unsure

Comments

Do not believe they should be fees charged, It is all a political whim of our politicians

\*11 . Additional comments regarding Water licences and permits not addressed in the questions above.\*

Comments:

How are other country investment in Australia being recorded. I believe this is very important for our future generations as there is belief that our water will be transported to other countries who will have

contaminated their waters & where would this leave Australia's water sustainability