
From: Garry Kilrain <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, 14 November 2018 9:18 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Technical Issues with the online submission form

Gday Aaron, thanks I will just give my two bob to you if you could submit I did all the captcha ect so don't know what happened, but I need to get to sleep so will quickly give few important points thanks .

1 : Govt to Govt clearing permits form a big part of the costs you are trying to recover ie main roads and shire councils ect. Generally when any of these depts want to clear a tree it would be necessary for safety or a road being widened ect they aren't a private enterprise who may clear for some personal gain it shouldn't attract all the red tape and hoops to jump through .A lot of money could be saved there and it's not fair to try and recover costs of the agricultural sector because two govt depts can't agree.

2: The taxpayer is all of us so it's not unfair that we all keep footing the bill for services provided both in clearing and water permits, in my situation I am in a little pocket of Dixvale Part of mjp brook catchment I have 510 hectares and only a 50 meg water licence with no one below me who could build a dam of any size until all the water my land captures runs out to the bush.

That is a classic example of looking at an aerial photo or even a quick drive from here to the bush would highlight the fact that whatever I did up here wouldn't affect anyone. Would more fees fix that or would improving efficiencies and willingness to think out of the square be a better solution. If it stays like it is my water is going to the sfis or the environment my family have done the work here to clear and buy these blocks on direction from the govt as group settlers for the purpose of farming the land and producing food for the country , to now be told it's fully allocated when this pocket isn't because we own a big portion of it ,so if the environment gets the water that benefits the taxpayer more then me , I work seven days a week I'm providing water and have the costs associated with the land shire rates are over 20 thousand dollars I'm not the one down on the rivers or in the huts at the Donnelly I've paid the price by not getting fair access to water they can pay something towards the system that we are all part of ,we are all taxpayers. I feel people don't understand how low the margins are on farms.

People I'm sure would be happy to pay something ,if when you applied for a licence you were given options or ideas to best achieve your goals like here again my A class water could be increased it doesn't affect anyone all my neighbours below want me to build a dam because we also flood irrigate all summer like I said before there's no dam sites below me it should be a simple calculation of what the run off coefficient is and what percentage I'm entitled too because it's more then 50 meg that's for sure.

That's a problem that attitudes will fix not money. These problems in the private sector, wouldn't exist because of accountability .

I'm not having a crack at anyone either just to be clear , I would hate a job where you couldn't do your best to help people everyday where there is a simple solution ,because of constraints

that I'm assuming exist in the whole process , it needs to be streamlined and a more common sense practical method used ,ie these catchments need to be broken down in to seperate Little areas to maximise water use especially like this, we are young ,we have 3 young kids we have a dream farm in the middle of west mjp with heaps of water and dam sites but instead of looking at this as a one off like it is we are being pigeon holed cause the easy option is it's fully allocated . BUT the govt is happy to cough up 60 million to try and achieve what we will at our own expense open up more land to be farmed. Again more fees won't fix these problems common sense and a willingness to achieve an outcome will.!

3:sorry I ramble to much but it's very frustrating ..

4: I don't feel fees can be charged until the whole process is efficient and a lot different to what it is now ,and even then they would not be justified at the levels or anywhere near the levels the dept was talking .100\$ would be about it and let the taxpayer (all of us carry the rest)

Sent from my iPad

Thanks Aaron kind regards Garry Kilrain

On 14 Nov 2018, at 12:53 pm, Aaron [REDACTED] > wrote:

Hi Garry.

Thank you for letting us know that you have had some issues.

In response to your email I have had the online form system checked for bugs and tested it myself on windows and IOS and can confirm that it is working.

I am keen to get your input and into this process. I sense your frustration and will extend the close off date for you until Friday 16th November if you still wish to make a submission.

I request you request you consider the options:

- Try again with the online form, making sure to provide test into the boxes provided (even if it is no comment), check the disclaimer box and enter the CAPTCHA code.
- You could email your input through to fees@dwer.wa.gov.au or to me and I will capture them as a submission.

regards
Aaron

From: Garry Kilrain <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Wednesday, 14 November 2018 12:43 AM

To: Department of Water and Environmental Regulation

Subject: Re: Friendly Reminder on Cost Recovery Submissions close on 5pm WST on 15th November 2018

Gday Germaine, what a surprise I spent an hr filling in the form on the link in the middle of hay season instead of going to bed and you get right to the end and it won't submit. That's why no fees are appropriate at this stage . The taxpayer being all of us can keep footing the bill and performance needs to be monitored if they want to cut costs it could be achieved easily . Thanks for that cheers garry kilrain [REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad