

Submitted on Monday, November 12, 2018 - 01:50

DETAILS

Company: [REDACTED]
First name: Diane
Last name: Fry
Address: [REDACTED]
Suburb: [REDACTED]
State: [REDACTED]
Postcode: [REDACTED]
Phone: [REDACTED]
Email: [REDACTED]
Sector: Agriculture

NATIVE VEGETATION CLEARING

1 . Would a strategic approach to clearing, through a strategic purpose permit, benefit you?

Response: yes

Comments:

In Warren /Donnelly catchment , strategic purpose permit should be very simple, and renewals automatic, i.e. tick and flick at not extra cost. High cost, complex project and difficult to bring together in a timely manner. Clearing for water conservation i.e. dam infrastructure should be done together within minimum bureacratic involvement.

KEEP IT SIMPLE

2. Is the 'purpose component' reasonable to apply considering the added complexity of assessing this type of clearing permit?

Response: no

Comments:

KEEP IT SIMPLE

3. Is the proposed fee structure fair and does it adequately reflect differences in the financial capacity of clearing permit applicants?

Response: no

Comments:

Imposing more layers of complexities and costs prevent economic development and value adding to our water resource.

If you dont want us to grow food, prepare and c ompensation package, and we will return our farms back to eucalypts?????

4. What is the likely impact on your business or industry of the proposed clearing fee structure?

Comments:

I will not invest in water harvesting to create an income??????

5. Additional comments regarding Native Vegetation Clearing not addressed in the questions above.

Comments:

Bureaucrats and greenies have made it so complex , to manage and administer it is now not viable for agriculture to pursue .

WATER

6. Do you consider it reasonable for taxpayers to pay 100 per cent of the cost of assessing water licence and permit applications and if so, why?

Response: yes

Comments:

Growing our own food is for the GREATER GOOD *7. If water licence and permit assessment fees were introduced, what do you consider to be an appropriate fee for a water licence or permit application?*

Comments:

ZERO FEES

We must have licences in perpetuity, we wear all the risk. So you do your job and keep out of our space.

8. Would you consider a risk-based model for determining water licence and permit application fees to be appropriate? If not, what basis could the department use to structure fees?

Response: no

Comments:

GREATER GOOD

9. What would be the likely impact on your business or industry if water licence and permit fees were introduced?

Comments:

Nil investment in agricultre

*10. If water licence and permit assessment fees were introduced, how could the collection of fees be timed to better support your business or industry?

For example, would you benefit from paying fees up front, at the end of a licence assessment or annualised over the term of the licence?*

Response: unsure

Comments

ZERO,

11 . Additional comments regarding Water licences and permits not addressed in the questions above.

Comments:

Until DOWE remove the risk of their ineptitude we will not cooperate in any discussions on the above